

INSIDE:

- More Good News | 2
- Bad News on the Front | 2
- The Beautiful | 3
- New Direction in Adult SCR | 3
- Obama & Congress Health Care Plans | 3
- Call for Isotope's Baseball Volunteers | 5
- Calendar | 5
- Why Another Questionnaire | 6
- TV Schedule for Pro-life Videos | 6



VIVA LIFE

vol. 27, no. 6

Newsletter of the Right to Life Committee of New Mexico

www.rtlnm.org

Goodbye to a Faithful Pro-Life Warrior

By Dauneen Dolce, RTLNM Executive Director

Jack Kemp recently passed away. He was in the era of when the battle for the protection of the unborn had just begun in Washington D.C. He was pro-life in all the roles he had—legislator, cabinet head, and candidate for president and vice-president. When working with President Reagan, he was a main advisor on the pro-life issues.

I was able to meet with Jack Kemp last August and had an opportunity to thank him for his dedication. It was clear that he was just as strong in his belief as he had always been. He encouraged me, thus you, to keep up the effort, as protecting life is what our government is about. Protecting innocent life is what a great nation and its culture does; we must not slow down our efforts.

This was good advice from a man who kept the faith and these very words. Thank you, Jack Kemp.

Abortion—Where do We Stand?

There is plenty of doom and gloom when one discusses the new administration in Washington D.C. Thank goodness it isn't in control of all aspects of the abortion issue. While we will continue to report on the activities of the Obama Administration, we will keep shedding light to the "good" things happening, the "bad" things going on elsewhere, the "beautiful" things when known, and many things that don't necessarily come under any of these titles. We must know that we can and must move forward, we must know what is going on, and we must capitalize on those "good" things that help us overcome the "bad" things.

Good: Support for Abortion at Lowest in 15 Years

WASHINGTON D.C. (LIFENEWS.COM – APRIL 29, 2009)

A poll of 1,521 adults conducted March 31-April 21 by Pew Research finds support for legal abortions has dropped. Results found that 46% of Americans say abortion should be legal (28% in most cases, 18% in all cases), and 44% of Americans believe abortion should be illegal (28% in most cases, 16% in all cases).

Among religious groups, support for abortion has steadily declined since August among white mainline Protestants (from 69% to 54%), and just 23% of white evangelical Protestants now favor abortion, down from 33% in August and 28% in October. However, Catholics did not see the same pro-life shift as did mainline Protestants. Only 2% more want abortions to be illegal.

Politically, there has been notable decline in the proportion of independents saying abortion should be legal. The August survey and two October surveys had the majority of

independents favoring legal abortion. This is down to 44% today. Moderate and liberal Republican support for abortion was 67% and 57% in those two polls, and now just 43% say abortion should be legal in all cases. Democrats saw no change, with 62% saying abortion should generally be legal and 27% saying they should be illegal. The conservative and moderate Democrats percentage grew for legal abortion, probably buying into Obama's abortion rhetoric of keeping abortions legal while supposedly working to reduce them.

The percent saying that abortion should be legal in all or most cases dropped from 54% last August to 46% in April. Following is a breakdown of polling results by gender, age, education and income, comparing results of support for legal abortion in August 2008 with April 2009.

	AUG 08	APR 09		AUG 08	APR 09
Men	53%	43%	College grads	64%	61%
Women	54%	49%	HS grads	47%	44%
White	55%	53%	18-29 yrs	52%	47%
Black	49%	45%	30-39 yrs	55%	50%
\$75K+	63%	59%	50-64 yrs	58%	47%
\$30-\$74,999	54%	46%	65+	46%	36%
\$0-\$30K	49%	41%			

What we are doing in the pro-life movement, especially in New Mexico with RTLNM and its chapters, has and will continue to make a difference if we can count on your financial support and volunteer time.

April Pew Poll: Obama Turning Off Catholic Voters

President Obama made it to the White House in part because 54% of Catholic voters supported him. Between February and March of this year, Obama's disapproval rating among Americans rose from 17% to 26%. Among Catholics the figure shot up from 14% to 28% and from 20% to 41% among white Catholics. "There is clearly something going on underneath in terms of the Catholic Community in relationship to Obama," said Luis Lugo, head of the Pew Forum on Religion and Public Life.

Scott Appleby, a professor of history at Notre Dame, who supports the University's decision to allow Obama to speak there and to receive an honorary degree, pinpointed Obama's problem as an abortion one. "A lot of [Catholics] held their noses when they voted for Obama," he told the *National Post*. "What he has done since taking office has brought those concerns to the fore. His decision on life issues and the way he has communicated them have been deeply dissatisfying to Catholics who voted for him, including me." Appleby told the newspaper that many Catholic voters believe Obama is "in the pocket of the abortion lobby." He added, "The vehemence you're hearing comes from a kind of suspicion about him."

Notre Dame Controversy Isn't Going Away

When the news broke that President Obama was invited to speak to the graduates of Notre Dame on May 17 and that he would receive an honorary degree, many Catholics (who fund the university) made a great effort to prevent this from happening. Thousands and thousands of e-mails were sent and protests by famous Catholic alumni, those Catholics in government, in the church and elsewhere, came pouring forth. A Rasmussen telephone survey found that a 60% to 25% majority supported the guidelines issued by the U.S. Bishops to refrain from awarding an honorary degree to those who act in defiance of our fundamental morals or platforms, which would suggest support for their actions. This was established in 2004. The poll showed it's not about politics. It's about Catholics and their bishops and putting secular prestige ahead of principle.

An award was to be given to Mary Ann Glendon, former Vatican ambassador, a respected pro-life advocate and a Harvard law professor. Notre Dame wanted to give Glendon the Laetare Medal, an annual award given in recognition of outstanding service in the Roman Catholic Church and society. Mary Ann Glendon submitted a letter to Notre Dame president John Jenkins saying she can neither accept the medal nor give a speech on the same day, sharing the same platform as a pro-abortion president.

Meanwhile, the pro-life activities and numbers have grown at the university under the leadership of Professor David Solomon, a deeply pro-life philosopher who has been teaching ethics at the Notre Dame Center for Ethics and Culture; he has energized the pro-life activities.

Other activities have a Notre Dame protest and boycott taking place when President Obama gives his speech. It will be a peaceful protest, which Notre Dame gave them permission to hold, a prayerful and constructive demonstration on the South Quad at the University. Other students may confront Obama over his pro-abortion record during this event.

Bishop D'Arcy, head bishop of the Fort Wayne-South Bend Diocese where Notre Dame is located, also is boycotting the official ceremony. He will give a Mass the evening prior to the graduation event and will pray with families. Many other priests will not be in attendance. Fr. Frank Pavone of Priests for Life will speak at a rally on the other side of campus.

New Injection May Reduce Down Syndrome Symptoms in Womb and Reduce Abortions

WASHINGTON D. C. (LIFENEWS.COM – NOVEMBER 2008)

Government researchers say they have developed a new injection that could curb the effects of Down Syndrome. They have tested the idea on mice and say they used a shot of chemicals into an unborn mouse to reduce the effects of Down Syndrome during pregnancy and had success. If the results can be replicated in humans, the new shot could help reduce the abortion rates of babies with the condition, which runs as high as 90 percent.

Dr. Catherine Spong headed the National Institutes of Health research team that conducted the tests and published their results in the latest issue of *New Scientist*. According to their report, the researchers developed an injection

based on two proteins that they have found can help brains grow normally. People afflicted with Down Syndrome make fewer of the proteins.

The mice were given the injections midway through the pregnancy. They found the mice given the shots reached the same developmental milestones as mice born without the condition. They are now watching the mice to see if they reach the same levels of development in their later years. Tests on human beings are still years away.

Abortion Not Needed For Cancer Treatment

HOUSTON, TX (LIFENEWS.COM – FEBRUARY 2009)

A new study finds that pregnancy does not increase the risk of breast cancer and that abortion is not a requirement for pregnant women who find themselves with breast cancer. Some doctors suggest that such women have an abortion so they can focus on treating the cancer. But the study involving patients at the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center puts to rest some earlier studies suggesting maternity makes cancer situations worse.

Dr. Beth Beadle, who works at the prestigious medical center and is the lead author of the study, told AP that both mother and baby can be cared for during treatment. "If we can get them early, we can treat them aggressively and have good promising outcomes for both woman and child," she said.

The study published in the medical journal *Cancer*, included 652 women under the age of 35 who were treated for breast cancer at the center. The group included 104 women who were diagnosed with cancer either during their pregnancy or one year following. The research found the rates of cancer recurrence, spread, and survival were approximately the same for the pregnant woman as with non-pregnant women.

BAD: Fetus as Organ Factory Next Moral Frontier

The next moral frontier has arrived. London's *Daily Mail* reports that Oxford Professor Sir Richard Gardner now proposes that organ tissues from aborted fetuses might represent "at least a temporary solution" to the shortage of available organs for transplant. Kidneys and livers from aborted fetuses could be given to those desperately ill to ease the organ donor shortage. Professor Gardner said fetal organs can be transplanted into adults. Experiments in mice indicate that these organs "grow extremely quickly." He said since the babies are going to be aborted anyway that it is a shame to "waste their organs."

Hospital Accused of Killing to Harvest Organs

An Ohio couple filed a lawsuit against the Hamot Medical Center in Erie, PA, the Center for Organ Recovery and Education (Core) in Pittsburgh, and various doctors for removing their 18-year old son's breathing tube and, while he was still alive, started surgery to remove his organs which included his heart, liver and kidneys. Gregory Jacobs had suffered a brain injury in an accident. The parents said that Gregory had no cessation of cardiac activities.

The lawsuit came only weeks after neurologist Dr. Cicero Coimbra told a conference that diagnostic protocols for brain

HOSPITAL ACCUSED...

death actually induce death in patients who would recover to normal life with therapy. The parents never gave consent.

YWCA Backs FOCA Bill for Unlimited Abortions, Overturning All Pro-Life Laws

The Young Women's Christian Association (YWCA) may have been a Christian group at one point, but the organization has abandoned that view by supporting abortion. Now the YWCA is going further and says it would be fine if Barack Obama signed the so-called Freedom of Choice Act (FOCA), the Congressional legislation that would make unlimited abortions throughout pregnancy for any reason the national law. It would also overturn every pro-life law in all 50 states that reduces abortions, stops taxpayer-funding of abortions or allows for parental notification or informed consent for women.

Editor's note: This is another sad commentary of one more "good" organization being taken over by those who support the abortion agenda. One should not support the YWCA while they hold these views. Information provided by LifeNews.com.

Doctors Face Orders to "Kill on Demand"

Physicians in Montana could be facing "kill-on-demand" orders from patients who want to commit suicide if a district court judge's opinion pending before the state Supreme Court is affirmed.

The case has attracted nominal attention nationwide, but lawyers with the Christian Legal Service (CLS) have filed a friend-of-the-court brief in the pending case because of what it would mean to doctors within the state, as well as the precedent it would set.

The concern is over the attack on doctors' ethics and religious beliefs—as well as the Hippocratic Oath—that may be violated by a demand that they prescribe deadly chemicals or in some other way assist in a person's death. In Oregon and Washington, which have assisted suicide laws, there are opt-out provisions for religious opposition to participating in killing a patient.

The Christian Medical Association joined CLS, and both groups represent 18,000 Christian medical and legal people. Their position is that this ruling would seriously undermine the relationship between doctors and patients. Many doctors would retire and create an even larger healthcare shortage in Montana. *Information provided by Bob Unruh in 2009 WorldNetDaily, May 2, 2009.*

BEAUTIFUL: Baby's Heart Repaired in the Womb

A baby's heart was repaired while she was still in the womb, which doctors at Toronto's Mount Sinai Hospital and the Hospital for Sick Children called a first in Canada. Oceane McKenzie had a severe narrowing of the left ventricle of the heart. If not fixed, the left side of the heart would not function. A team of doctors expanded the baby's aortic valve using a balloon catheter inserted through the mother's abdomen 31 weeks into the pregnancy. The procedure helped to reverse the baby's heart failure before birth.

Stem Cell Research Takes New Direction

Scientists in Japan are claiming new breakthroughs in growing human organs in animals, a process that gives credence

to the notion that the scary Brave New World has arrived. The researchers are trying to grow human organs in animals as a way to combat the shortage of organs for transplants.

The scientists haven't actually grown human organs yet in animals, but their "success" in growing monkey organs in sheep using stem cells is but a step on the way. Within a decade they hope to produce human organs.

The pancreas in this case was generated from monkey stem cells, and researcher Yutaka Hanazono tells the *London Times* he believes sheep could be turned into "walking organ banks for human livers, hearts, pancreases and skin.

"We have made some very big advances here. There has historically been work on the potential of sheep as producers of human blood, but we are only slowly coming closer to the point where we can harvest sheep for human organs," Professor Hanazono told the newspaper. "We have shown that in vivo (in a living animal) creation of organs is more efficient than making them in vitro (in a test tube)," he added.

Wesley Smith, a leading American bioethicist, is monitoring the news. He says Hanazono's research "would not be xenotransplantation in the usual sense of the term, since the procured organ would not be the sheep's own, but, as I understand it, would be a construct made from human stem cells.

"So, if this works—always a big if in early research—a patient's adult stem cells could be used to grow a new organ in a sheep, which would then be transplanted back to the human when the time was right," Smith says. Smith said the process used apparently involves adult and not embryonic stem cells—the *London Times* articles doesn't say one way or another. *Information provided by LifeNews.com.*

Health Plan Enough to Make You Sick!

The following Feb. 17 article is by Cal Thomas, a journalist of Tribune Media Services. It is important you read this article.

HOW ABOUT A STIMULUS FOR LIFE? Thanks to former Lt. Gov. of New York Betsy McCaughey and her recent essay on Bloomberg.com entitled, "Ruin Your Health with the Obama Stimulus Plan," we know of another problem with the stimulus bill, one that may threaten the lives of many Americans.

McCaughey discovered buried in the bill a new bureaucracy called the National Coordinator of Health Information Technology. Among other things, it means that a Washington official will "monitor treatments to make sure your doctor is doing what the federal government deems appropriate and cost effective." Some of that occurs now, but this would take it to a whole new level.

The idea comes straight from former HHS nominee Tom Daschle's 2008 book entitled "Critical: What We Can Do About the Health-Care Crisis" in which he says that doctors are going to have to give up their autonomy and "learn to operate less like solo practitioners." Inevitably, this means the government will decide who gets life-saving treatment and who doesn't. It is survival of the fittest in practice. Thank you, and belated happy birthday, Charles Darwin.

In 1979, six years after *Roe v. Wade*, philosopher and theologian Dr. Frances Schaeffer and the about-to-be sur-

geon general of the United States, Dr. C. Everett Koop, wrote a book, "Whatever Happened to the Human Race?" In chapter three "Death by Someone's Choice," the authors write, "Will a society which has assumed the right to kill infants in the womb—because they are unwanted, imperfect, or merely inconvenient—have difficulty in assuming the right to kill other human beings, especially older adults who are judged unwanted, deemed imperfect physically or mentally, or considered a possible social nuisance?"

No one should be surprised at the coming embrace of euthanasia. After the Supreme Court deprived the unborn of their right to live by declaring them non-persons, it was only a matter of time before other categories of human life deemed to be inconvenient or unwanted would also face extermination in order to benefit the government, the healthy and the wealthy, who prefer not to be disturbed in their pursuit of pleasure, personal peace and affluence.

Schaeffer and Koop predicted "the next candidates for arbitrary reclassification as non-persons are the elderly." That 30-year-old prophecy, deemed hyperbole and alarmist by many at the time, now seems to be coming true.

In 1993, Hillary Clinton, as chair of the Task Force on National Health Care Reform, pushed the bureaucratic-heavy Clinton Health Care Plan, quickly labeled "Hillary Care," which was long on government oversight, short on patient choice. A Democratic Congress defeated it a year later.

Now we have a National Coordinator of Health Information Technology and a Democratic Congress and President Barack Obama appears ready to resume their assault on all but the fit and those who do not burden government with their need for treatment. "Medicare now pays for treatments deemed safe and effective," writes McCaughey. "The stimulus bill would change that and apply a cost-effectiveness standard set by the Federal Council."

I called Koop, who is now 92. He reminded me that in 1988 he had an ailment that left him a quadriplegic. Surgery restored his limbs, but "if I'd lived in England, I would have been nine years too old to have the surgery that saved my life and gave me another 21 years."

Koop fears that the United States is about to embrace English socialized medicine, with government authorities deciding who lives and who dies. He says the idea of government second-guessing doctors sickens him.

Great inhumanities are usually ushered in at the extremes in order to make the public more accepting. Abortion on demand followed the 1973 *Roe v. Wade* case where Norma McCorvey, Jane Roe, "alleged" she had been raped, resulting in pregnancy. Technology allows people to abort a "defective" baby in the womb, "selectively reduce" implanted embryos to the desired number, or even abort a female when a male is wanted.

Euthanasia will not originate with your beloved grandmother or parents. It will start in a public hospital with a 100-year-old woman who has multiple health problems and "wants" to die so as to not "burden" anyone. Public opinion polls will determine that a majority favor letting—even helping—the old girl die.

Yes, there are times when a patient and his family may decide to forego treatment and allow death to occur, but

that decision should not be made by a government official. Once that door is opened (as it was with abortion) there will be no closing it, and dying will become a patriotic duty when the patient's balance sheet shows a deficit.

They will probably have a clergyman available to bless the government's decision and make everyone feel better about it.

More Commentary on Obama's Health Care

Charles Krauthammer, a powerful columnist, wrote in the *Washington Post* that "the math doesn't add up" on covering Americans without cutting back on medical care. "His universal health-care proposal would increase costs by perhaps \$1 trillion," Krauthammer says.

"The hard part is Medicare and Medicaid. In an aging population, how do you keep them from blowing up the budget? There is only one answer: rationing," he explains.

Krauthammer points out that the stimulus package pours \$1.1 billion into medical "comparative effectiveness research," which he calls the "perfect setup for rationing." "Once you establish what is 'best practice' for expensive operations, medical tests and aggressive therapies, you've laid the premise for funding some and denying others," he says.

England and Canada are often used as the examples of the problems and abuses that show up when nations move to governmental-based health care and rationing what patients can receive.

"Britain's National Health Service can deny treatments it deems not cost-effective—and if you're old and infirm, the cost-effectiveness of treating you plummets. In Canada, they ration by queuing. You can wait forever for so-called elective procedures like hip replacements," the columnist says. "A nationalized health insurance system would ration everything from MRIs to intensive care by myriad similar criteria."

Krauthammer says voters have been sold a bill of goods—with Obama making promises to voters without talking about consequences. "Obama, the consummate politician, knows to offer the candy (universality) today before serving the spinach (rationing) tomorrow," he writes. "But there is no escaping rationing. In the end, the spinach must be served."

Fred Barnes, executive director of *The Weekly Standard*, has this to say. Rationing may not only affect the elderly. He points out that the recent death of actress Natasha Richardson occurred in a Canadian hospital that had neither scanning equipment nor a neurosurgeon. Nor was there a helicopter available to take her there from the popular ski slopes where she was injured. The Canadian government had decided not to pay for these medical services.

While rationing would curtail lifesaving medical treatment and encourage euthanasia, it would promote abortion says Tony Perkins of the Family Research Council. "The only way for Obama to pay for his health takeover will be through rationing of health care to the elderly," he says. "The plan Democrats envision will include a seductively framed 'government option' that will prescribe covered services, including abortion in all likelihood with low cost and coverage

OBAMA'S HEALTH CARE PLAN...

mandates that may draw as many as 130 million Americans to it," Perkins adds. *From LifeNews.com, April 27, 2009.*

Obama Asks for Tax-Funded Abortions in DC

President Obama's budget recommendations to Congress are intended to guide lawmakers as they craft spending bills for the new federal fiscal year that begins October 1. Douglas Johnson, legislative director of the National Right to Life Committee, addressed the proposals in a statement issued May 7. (Full text of his statement is available at http://www.nrlc.org/press_releases_new/Release050709.html.)

President Obama is pursuing a step-by-step strategy to expand access to abortion, and today's step is to urge Congress to authorize the funding of abortion on demand in the nation's capital, with funds appropriated by Congress," stated Douglas Johnson.

Today's White House budget submission explicitly urges the House and Senate to repeal a law (sometimes called the Dornan Amendment) that has prevented tax-funded abortion in the District of Columbia for many years.

"If Congress goes along with the Obama proposal, the predictable result will be tax funding of several thousand elective abortions annually, including roughly 1,000 abortions annually that would not otherwise occur," said Douglas Johnson. "Any member of Congress who votes for a bill that contains the White House proposal is, in reality, voting for tax-funded abortion on demand with congressionally appropriated funds."

"Today's White House action is one more evidence that President Obama is trying to pull off a massive policy scam—he generates a smokescreen of soothing rhetoric about seeking 'common ground' and 'abortion reduction,' while step by step advancing concrete policies that will substantially increase the number of abortions—and pay for abortion on demand with everyone's taxes," Johnson concluded.

Caution: Congress Crafts Health Care Plan

House Democrats are crafting a plan that would require all Americans to carry health insurance and would help families making less than \$88,000 pay the premiums. Employers would help foot the bill as well as individuals. Many are already doing this, but there would be new obligations. Insurers would operate under stricter consumer protections. Government would take added responsibilities for setting insurance rules and providing financial help to low- and middle- income families.

Debate is still going on in the Senate whether the bill they are considering should include the choice of a government insurance plan for middle-income families. Insurers, hospitals and employers are trying to head off such a plan, and this will be an on-going battle. Insurers are strongly opposed to a government-sponsored plan, saying it will drive them out of business. The Democrats say a public plan would help everybody by injecting competition into a health care market that in many areas is dominated by a handful of major insurers.

The summary of the House proposal says one of its main goals is to "minimize disruption" for people who already

have coverage. The plan also includes a government insurance program to compete with private companies. It would be financed by premium payments, not taxpayer dollars.

Meanwhile, Medicare is on the brink of failure for lack of money to cover the many on its rolls. The question has to be asked, if we can't afford taking care of those 65 and over, how can we afford to take care of the others? Where can we get \$2 trillion in a slowing economy and, will it be enough?

Meanwhile, President Obama obtained a pledge from medical providers to help find \$2 trillion in savings over 20 years to pay for his plan. *Information on house bill found in May 15 article by Ricardo Alonso-Zaldivar and Erica Werner.*

Coming Events

June 18–20: National RTL Convention in Charlotte, NC, at the Blake Hotel, 555 S. McDowell St. For details, go to NRLC's Web site www.stoptheabortionagenda.com/convention or our Web site www.rtlm.org. You can call 202-378-8842 for more information.

June 30: "Pro-life Issues and Politics" Educational Seminar by former Congressman Steve Pearce 6-7:30 p.m. at Glory Christian Fellowship Church, 2417 Wyoming NE (between Phoenix and Claremont, one building north of RTLCNM office). RTLCNM's Education Committee is offering the second in our series of seminars geared to provide accurate and current educational information regarding life issues. Tues., June 30, we are privileged to host former Cong. Pearce for a seminar about the current political scene and the life issues. How do our moral values, science and our political affiliations guide our lives and affect state and federal legislation? Gather information and ask questions. We anticipate a good turnout and hope to see you there. Free Refreshments.

Aug. 6: "Health Care, Rationing and Euthanasia" Educational Seminar by Attorney Tom McBride, 6-7:30 p.m.

Sept. 11–27: State Fair (*please note corrected dates*)

Sept. 19: "Our Youth and the Pro-Life Issues" Educational Seminar by Bob Cornelius and Ryan Gangioli from 10-11:30 a.m.

Oct. 24: "Stem Cell Research Update" Educational Seminar by Dr. Frank Maldonado from 2-4 p.m. with Spaghetti Dinner

Nov. 14: Born to Run

Isotope's Baseball and RTLCNM Need You!

By Betty Eichenseer, President of RTLCNM

It's June already! Where is the year going so fast???? Well, fear not! There is still time to volunteer at RTL's concession stand at Isotope's Park. You'll meet a wonderful group of pro-lifers and help earn money for RTL too. Our June calendar schedule was in a previous edition of Viva. If you misplaced it, it's available on our Web site, www.rtlm.org. Enclosed in this edition are the July, August and September schedules. As you can see, there are still many games where we need help. If you find that you have a few hours and you'd like to spend it with other pro-lifers, we'd love to have you join us. Students can get their service hours taken care of for all of next year. Contact me at (505) 821-9101 or eichenseer@bigplanet.com.

**RIGHT TO LIFE COMMITTEE
OF NEW MEXICO**

2413 Wyoming Blvd NE, Suite A
Albuquerque, NM 87112-1164

NON-PROFIT
ORGANIZATION
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Albuquerque, NM
Permit #471

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

Why Another Questionnaire?

By Cheryl Lyle, Education Committee Chairman

As always, when our educational conference and banquet are over, we value your opinions as to what we did right and what might need to be changed. Additionally, because the coming years offer more challenge, we need to get our educational messages to you in the best format possible. We need you to be armed with accurate and up-to-date information so you can conduct your own one-on-one "seminars" with friends, family and neighbors. Enclosed within this issue of *Viva Life* you will find a 2009 Questionnaire. You can help us to help you by taking two to three minutes to complete and submit it by July 4. We'll let you know the results, and we thank you for your help.

*Hats Off
to Loving Fathers*

In a society that says "be more responsible" and in the next breath says "get lost, you don't count," let's get back to basic truths. Fathers, the anchor of our society, are greatly needed and wanted. Fathers who guide our families, the backbone of our society, are essential. Fathers who are responsible to their families and to society are going strong and making their influence felt.

So if you are a father, grandfather, god father, step-father, or a man who takes on the role as a surrogate father, have a wonderful day. Know that you are very much needed, wanted and essential in this day, and we are very happy you are here with your caring hearts and souls. **HAPPY FATHERS DAY TO ONE AND ALL!**

**Comcast Cable
Public Access Channel 27**

Pro-life broadcasts Mondays at 10 p.m.

- June 1** "Life Talk March 2009"
- June 8** "Life Talk April 2009"
- June 15** "Life Talk May 2009"
- June 22** "Sex, Lies and the Truth" and "A Matter of Choice"
- June 29** "Death as a Salesman" and "The Massacre of Innocence"

**A Chapter in Action—
Albuquerque Billboards**



Billboards sponsored by Albuquerque RTL Chapter are located on property owned by Jim Rodgers Plumbing & Heating, Inc. at 1570 Bridge SW on the north side of the street between Atrisco and Old Coors. After installing the first banner, a very generous Rodgers put up another banner on the back side. We thank Charlie Griego for scouting for a location.

MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

I understand that the Right To Life Committee of New Mexico (RTL CNM) is a non-sectarian, non-profit organization dedicated to the right to life of all innocent human beings from fertilization to natural death; that this organization takes a stand only on those issues that are directly related to abortion, infanticide and euthanasia.

I support the goals of RTL CNM, including a Human Life Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and hereby make application for membership (renewal) as follows:

- Individual — \$20.00
- Corporate — \$40.00 - for business organizations
- Family — \$30.00
- NRL News Subscription (\$5.00 with paid membership)

Name _____

Address _____

City/Zip _____

Wish to: (check one)

- Register to vote
- Re-register

I am a registered: (check one)

- Republican Democrat
- Other

Signature

VIVA LIFE! is published by the Right To Life Committee of New Mexico, 2413 Wyoming Blvd., NE, Suite A, Albuquerque, NM 87112-1164. Phone: 881-4563. Betty Eichenseer, Pres.; Dr. Frank Maldonado, V.Pres.; Connie Johnson, Sec.; Verna Pochop, Treas.; Dauneen Dolce, National Delegate; Editor: Dauneen Dolce. VIVA LIFE! is printed by The Sherwood Co.